Wafer-scale mitochondrial membrane potential assays†
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It has been reported that mitochondrial metabolic and biophysical parameters are associated with degenerative diseases and the aging process. To evaluate these biochemical parameters, current technology requires several hundred milligrams of isolated mitochondria for functional assays. Here, we demonstrate manufacturable wafer-scale mitochondrial functional assay lab-on-a-chip devices, which require mitochondrial protein quantities three orders of magnitude less than current assays, integrated onto 4” standard silicon wafer with new fabrication processes and materials. Membrane potential changes of isolated mitochondria from various well-established cell lines such as human HeLa cell line (Heb7A), human osteosarcoma cell line (143b) and mouse skeletal muscle tissue were investigated and compared. This second generation integrated lab-on-a-chip system developed here shows enhanced structural durability and reproducibility while increasing the sensitivity to changes in mitochondrial membrane potential by an order of magnitude as compared to first generation technologies. We envision this system to be a great candidate to substitute current mitochondrial assay systems.

1 Introduction

The mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) plays a crucial role in the production of ATP as an energy source of the cell. The electron transport chain (complex I, II, III, IV) positioned at the mitochondrial inner membrane generates this electrochemical potential gradient across the inner membrane while sequentially transporting electrons through the complexes. This proton gradient is utilized by ATP synthase (complex V) to produce ATP from ADP and inorganic phosphate. This cycle can remain functional and constantly produce ATP to sustain the cell only when the electrochemical proton gradient is maintained at a constant level with enough available ADP. ΔΨm is the key component of this electrochemical potential gradient, therefore it is important to develop instrumentation technologies to monitor ΔΨm in order to efficiently evaluate mitochondrial function.1

Mitochondria are known to regulate cell life and death by control of apoptosis, through a critical, irreversible step involving the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (mPTP), a megapore complex triggered to open under certain conditions at both the mitochondrial inner and outer membranes.2–7 Once opened, the permeability of the mitochondrial inner membrane increases drastically, causing the release of bioactive proteins including cytochrome C and the inflow of protons, resulting in an irreversible collapse of the mitochondrial membrane potential. This process is known to lead to apoptosis. In addition, malfunctions and abnormal behaviors of mitochondria are highly associated with the degenerative diseases and the aging process.8–10

A lipophilic cation such as tetrathylphosphonium (TPP+) diffuses through the mitochondrial inner membrane, the concentration ratio depending on ΔΨm, determined by the Nernst equation, i.e.

\[
\frac{[\text{TPP}^+]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{TPP}^+]_{\text{in}}} = e^{\frac{-\Delta \Psi_m kT}{nF}}
\]  

(1)

By measuring the concentration of TPP+ outside the mitochondria,[TPP+]out, using electrochemical ion selective electrode technology, one can infer the concentration of cation taken up into the mitochondria,[TPP+]in, to determine the membrane potential.7 Previously, we reported on an electrochemical mitochondrial functional assay using a miniaturized on-chip mitochondrial membrane potential sensor equipped with TPP+ ion selective electrodes.11 This first generation planar-type mitochondrial assay sensor showed good sensitivity and a fast response to ΔΨm by monitoring the activity of TPP+ in the sample solution. However, there were some challenges with the construction process and other factors that could limit the use of the sensor. First, the ion selective membrane was manually cut.
from a thin jelly membrane sheet on the glass substrate itself and then individually transferred onto an open trench of PDMS layers. This was laborious and often caused wrinkles resulting in weak bonding between the membrane and the PDMS layer and, subsequently, electrolyte leakage. This could lead to unstable sensor performance and a shorter sensor lifetime. In order to improve the production yield, we sought to evolve our fabrication approaches with new substrate materials to replace the manual, serially fabricated single sensor built upon a microscope slide with an efficient batch, parallel fabrication process based on semiconductor industry models.

Here, we demonstrate a second generation, wafer-scale lab-on-a-chip design and fabrication process for mitochondrial bioenergetic measuring which is compatible with microfabrication technology. This product is much more efficient to produce and proves to have significantly higher reproducibility and durability than the first generation model. We use industry standard 4” silicon wafer as the substrate along with a new membrane transfer technique. Changes in the mitochondrial membrane potential of isolated mitochondria from the established human cell lines Heb7A and 143B and from mouse muscle tissue are evaluated in response to treatments with known modulators of mitochondrial function. The sensor is validated by taking the measured TPP⁺ concentration, converting into $\Delta \psi_{m}$ and calibrating against the theoretical values. This latest sensor model improves upon all of the functional parameters of the previous version, is capable of higher throughput analysis and, importantly, is much more time and cost-effective to fabricate. This lays the foundation for low cost, high throughput screening of bioenergetics and metabolism in an on-chip format.

2 Methods

Fabrication steps

The microfabrication process is schematically depicted in Fig. 1. A 4” bare silicon wafer (Boron doped P-type) was used as the device substrate to allow compatibility with standard semiconductor wafer-scale microfabrication technologies. The wafers were treated with boiling piranha solution (3 : 1 = sulfuric acid : DI water) for an hour followed by an organic solvent clean, then rinsed with copious DI water. Thin films of Ti (50 nm) and Ag (1.5 µm) were deposited using e-beam evaporation. The silver film was lithographically patterned into electrodes using Shipley 1827 photoresist (Fig. 1 (a)). Wet etching was carried out using a silver etchant made of 1 : 1 mixture of nitric acid and DI water followed by Ti etching. The patterned silver electrodes were chlorinated chemically by dropping 50 µl of 0.1 M FeCl₃ solutions onto the electrode area, situated within the inner Fig. 1 Schematic diagrams of microfabrication steps and the fabricated device. (a) Silver thick film deposition and patterning into electrode arrays on a 4” Si wafer. (b) Chlorination of silver to make Ag/AgCl on the sensing areas. (c) Assembly of the 1st PDMS layer with microfluidic channels. (d) TPP⁺ selective membrane transfer by flipping over the thin PDMS layer with cured membranes. (e) Photograph of the constructed device (inset, showing a sensing chamber and access holes) and one complete set of six sensors manufactured in a batch, parallel process on a single 4” Si wafer.
electrolyte chamber, for 40 s at room temperature to create Ag/AgCl electrodes (Fig. 1 (b)). Three layers of silicone rubber (PDMS) were prepared separately by soft lithography. The first layer has a microfluidic channel (400 μm × 50 μm) to contain the 10 mM TPP+ inner filling solution needed for the ion selective sensing. These microfluidic channels were produced by soft lithography with a thick negative photo resist (SU-8) mold to keep the volume of the inner filling solution constant compared to the hand-cut L-shaped reservoirs from our previous reported sensor (Fig. 1 (c)). A 2 mm hole was drilled through the center of the microfluidic channel to provide an interface between the inner filling solution and the medium in the sensing chamber through the ion selective membrane. The TPP+ ion selective (IS) membrane solution was prepared with a mixture of 4.4 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF) and dioctyl phthalate, 0.15 g of polyvinyl chloride (PVC), and 6 mg of precipitation of tetraphenylboron (Na+TBP) (12)3 of the 10 mM TPP+ inner filling solution needed for the ion selective sensing. These microfluidic channels were produced by soft lithography with a thick negative photo resist (SU-8) mold to keep the volume of the inner filling solution constant compared to the hand-cut L-shaped reservoirs from our previous reported sensor (Fig. 1 (c)). A 2 mm hole was drilled through the center of the microfluidic channel to provide an interface between the inner filling solution and the medium in the sensing chamber through the ion selective membrane. The TPP+ ion selective (IS) membrane solution was prepared with a mixture of 4.4 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF) and dioctyl phthalate, 0.15 g of polyvinyl chloride (PVC), and 6 mg of precipitation of tetraphenylboron (Na+TBP) (12), and transferred onto a PDMS layer by a new transfer technique (described below). To streamline the fabrication process, we utilized a commercial, leakage-free Ag/AgCl reference electrode (Warner Instruments) and fabricated only the working electrode with the IS membrane.

In order to create reproducible membranes and ensure their secure, wrinkle-free bonding with the underlying PDMS layer, we developed a transfer method in which the IS membranes are first prepared and cured on a separate PDMS scaffold before being positioned and deposited on the chip. As depicted in Fig. 1 (d), a clean 2 mm thick PDMS scaffold was first aligned atop the first PDMS layer, such that the open 2 mm center holes of six microfluidic channels are visible from above. 30 μL of freshly prepared ion selective membrane solution was then carefully dropped onto the scaffold to correspond to the position of the channel holes underneath. These were allowed to cure overnight at room temperature. The following day, the scaffold was flipped over, carefully positioned so the cured IS membranes fell within the channel holes, and gently pressed out for 5–10 min. This resulted in intact IS membranes that were completely and accurately transferred into position without wrinkles. We also tried this transfer method at elevated temperature (~ 60 °C) in a hot oven, assuming that the membrane would be more pliable and allow for easier transfer. Although the transfer was successful, we found that the high-temperature treated membranes did not exhibit proper responses to changes in [TPP+]. We believe this is because the sensitivity of the IS membrane is a non-linear function of temperature (data not shown). The remaining two PDMS layers were aligned and assembled together manually under a stereomicroscope. The bottom microfluidic channel was filled with 10 mM TPP+ through the access holes using a micropipette. The completed microfluidic lab-on-a-chip device in Fig. 1 (e) shows an array of 6 fully manufactured sensors, with three layers of PDMS constructed on a 4” silicon wafer. The inset reveals the components of the chip including the bottom microfluidic channel with its access holes and the open 80 μL circular sensing chamber.

To summarize, the advantages of this second generation technology over the first generation include 1) A Si 4” wafer process (rather than microscope slides), 2) Lithographically defined PDMS microfluidic channels (as opposed to hand cut), 3) Batch compatible, transfer process for membrane assembly (rather than manual membrane glue in place), and 4) A batch, completely automatable, parallel manufacturing process that can be scaled up to multiple devices per wafer as opposed to manual, serial assembly of each device component by component. We now turn to the performance of the improved devices.

Results and Discussion

Characterization

The experimental set-up for the sensor calibration and measurement is shown in Fig. 2. One of the patterned working electrodes (Ag/AgCl) was connected to a digital multimeter (Agilent 34401A, input impedance setting ½ 10 GΩ) along with a commercial leakage-free Ag/AgCl reference electrode (Warner Instruments). A computer installed with LabView was linked to the digital multimeter for data acquisition via a GPIB interface (National Instrument, GPIB-USB-HS). The acquired data was analyzed and plotted using Igor Pro (WaveMetrics).

The sensor was primed for use by filling the sensing chamber and underlying microfluidic chamber with 10 mM TPP+ solution overnight to activate the TPP+ IS membrane. The next day, the inner filling solution was replaced with care taken to prevent bubble formation which could create an open circuit against the IS membrane and signal-reading errors. The sensing chamber was rinsed with DI water and respiration buffer (refer to supplemental information for composition) 3 times before filling with fresh respiration buffer. The sensor was extensively characterized using a 5-point calibration curve with TPP+ concentrations ranging from 0.3 μM to 600 μM. The potential difference between the reference and working electrodes was monitored while incrementally increasing the TPP+ concentrations within the sensing chamber.

Fig. 2 (c) shows two calibration curves measured before and after a typical mitochondrial measurement (see below) and demonstrates the sensors reproducibility, durability, and small drift between experiments. The insert plot shows the signal stabilizing just a few seconds following each successive addition of TPP+ (arrows) which marks a 60% faster response time compared with our original sensor without its tapering large signal spikes. TPP+ concentrations were plotted logarithmically to reveal the linear relationship between concentration and IS electrode (ISE) potential (mV) as predicted by the Nernst equation. Since our experimental concentration range is between 5 and 10 μM, we typically perform a 2-point curve fit between our 2nd and 3rd calibration points (3 μM and 30 μM respectively). These measurements of ISE potential were used to determine sensor variation using the following equation:

\[
V_{ISE} = J * \ln([TPP^+] + K),
\]

where \(V_{ISE}\) is the measured ISE potential, and \(J\) and \(K\) are the two calibration coefficients.

An important issue is the statistical variation among sensors, which we now address. Fig. 2 (d) illustrates the statistical data from 21 separate calibration curves performed on eight different devices. The J and K coefficients of variation represent the ratio of the standard deviations to the averages which, in our hands, resulted in values of \(\approx 18\%\) and \(\approx 16\%,\) respectively. This includes the effects of both device-to-device variations, as well as variations from run-to-run within one device. This narrow data distribution indicates...
good reproducibility of the sensor response and a small device-to-device variation. This is an improvement over our previous devices[15] where the slope and offset from both sources of error (device-to-device and run-to-run) varied by a much larger amount, specifically $\pm 25\%$ and $\pm 82\%$ for J and K equivalent, respectively, and indicates the significance of developing a wafer scale manufacturing process. While excellent, this variation in calibration coefficients may still require a careful calibration before each measurement for some envisioned assays that require high accuracy, an issue we hope to address in future generations of our chips.

Mitochondrial membrane potential measurement

As a demonstration with known cell lines, a mitochondrial membrane potential assay was performed in respiration buffer at room temperature using isolated mitochondria prepared from human cell lines, including Heb7A and 143B TK-, and also from mouse liver (Isolation protocols given in supplemental information). During the measurement, the isolated mitochondria were maintained on ice to prevent degradation. For each individual sample, the TPP$^+$ concentration traces were monitored before and after the addition of mitochondria to establish a basal “resting” potential. Then, changes in the mitochondrial membrane potential were recorded as OXPHOS complex I substrates (pyruvate and malate) and ADP were added to the sensor chamber. These measurements were converted to membrane potential using a procedure similar to our previous publication[11] (discussed in supplemental information).

In order to allow for improved quantitative measurements of the membrane potential of various cell lines, we developed a
procedure in which all of the initial starting concentrations and volume of solution and mitochondria were the same from experiment to experiment, the only variable being the cell line that produced the mitochondria. We chose an initial concentration of 7.2 µM for all the experiments, allowing for the same initial starting point (prior to the introduction of mitochondria) for all experiments. Using this technique, the initial value of TPP+ was always known, and only changes in TPP+ were needed. Thus, for the calibration, only the logarithmic slope of the TPP+ vs. VISE curve was important. In an experiment where the TPP+ vs. VISE curve was measured immediately before and immediately after the experiment, the values of J changed by ~ 15% (20.8 vs. 17.5 mV for J). In our experiments, we used only the logarithmic slope (J⁻¹) to determine the value of TPP after introduction of the mitochondria. More on the error analysis will be presented below.

A representative result from a mitochondrial membrane potential experiment is shown in Fig. 3 with [TPP+] values obtained from the calibration curve conversion. After adjusting the chamber working concentration of TPP+ to 7.2 µM, 5 µL of 150 ng µL⁻¹ freshly isolated mitochondria were introduced into the 80 µL sensing chamber. Our experimental working concentration, 10 ng µL⁻¹, is three orders of magnitude less than is needed by conventional assays.12,13 The chamber solutions were mixed gently three times using a micropipette and the trace was allowed to stabilize and remain constant showing that the solution is thoroughly mixed and no further diffusion occurred due to the incomplete mixing during the measurement (data not shown). Upon introduction of mitochondria, mitochondria quickly absorbed TPP+ according to their ΔΨm leading to decrease in [TPP+] in the sensing chamber.

In our experiments, the addition of 5 µL of solution containing mitochondria would lower the TPP+ concentration (even in the absence of mitochondria) by about 0.5 µM. Because of the membrane potential, there is an additional reduction in [TPP+] due to uptake by the mitochondria. In Fig. 4, we plot the relationship (eq. 1S in supplemental information) of the inferred membrane potential to the measured [TPP+] concentration change due to the mitochondria ΔΨm.

To test functional activities of complex I by adding the OXPHOS substrates, pyruvate and malate (P/M) were added, to stimulate the active shuttling of protons out of the mitochondrial matrix. This resulted in an increase in the magnitude of ΔΨm thus diffusion of TPP+ into the matrix, resulting in a decrease in [TPP+] in the medium. Indeed, the response to P/M was instantaneous and stabilized to reveal a drastically decreased [TPP+] by 1.5 µM in the sensing chamber. Once these data were collected, we wanted to ensure the viability of our mitochondria to validate that the TPP+ efflux is active and not merely due to defunct OXPHOS machinery, degraded membranes, etc. To that end, we added ADP to take advantage of the fact that OXPHOS complex V (ATP synthase) utilizes the ΔΨm to convert ADP to ATP. Accordingly, we detected the increase of TPP+ ions in the chamber, indicating a partial depolarization of the ΔΨm which was used to drive ATP synthesis. Due to the physical effect of manual injections using a micropipette, signal noise was recorded as scattered data when injections were performed. This could be eliminated by employing an automated fluidic perfusion system in future designs.

The same series of measurements with mitochondria from Heb7A and mouse muscle cell lines were performed and compared. The amount of mitochondria and chemicals as well as the sequence of injections remained the same to avoid variations from assay to assay. Drops of [TPP+] after addition of mitochondria, and the corresponding ΔΨm values are plotted in Fig. 5 which were obtained from 6 different assays. As expected from prior studies of mitochondrial bioenergetics, ΔΨm of mitochondria from mouse skeletal muscle showed the largest value among other cell lines indicating the vibrant activity of the skeletal muscle tissue. (Run to run variations are attributed to sensor drift, to be discussed below in further detail.) The membrane potential of Heb7A was slightly higher than that of 143b. Overall the results of the mitochondrial assays were consistent with the results of prior studies.12,14

We now turn to a detailed error analysis of our procedure. In our experiments, the statistical variation (as a function of time)
order to compare the behavior between devices. As discussed before and after the experiment, and using identical conditions in histograms of Fig. 2 provide a complete quantification of this significant, and we address this issue now. In principle, the well as equilibrium bioenergetics.

demonstrates the ability of our technology to assay kinetic as observe is not due to the sensor technology itself. Indeed, this inset of Fig. 2 (c) we believe the time dependent behavior we
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small changes in the measured sensor voltage and the (logarithmic) slope is determined from this initial value can be determined only from

error increases as the values of \(m\) in the value of \([TPP+]\) increases from 0 to 1 \(\mu M\). We have determined numerically that the error is at most \(\pm 0.12 \mu M\) error for a 1 \(\mu M\) drop in \([TPP+]\) on introduction of mitochondria, and less for smaller drops in \([TPP+]\), by calculating \([TPP+]\) for different values of \(J\) and determining the worst case error at each value of inferred \(TPP^+\) drop.) In order to relate the calibration drift component of this \([TPP+]\) error to an error in the inferred value of \(\Delta\psi_m\), we use eq. 1S of supplemental information. As can be seen in Fig. 4, this conversion is non-linear, so the error conversion will depend on the specific parameters of the experiment. In our case, this still allows an error smaller than \(\sim \pm 10 \text{ mV}\) for the determination of high values of \(\Delta\psi_m\). This error increases as the values of \(\Delta\psi_m\) decreases from 180 mV. These parameters will continue to allow studies on the relative value of \(\Delta\psi_m\) between different cell lines. This can thus be a powerful tool for the accurate investigation of mitochondrial membrane potential from a variety of cell lines where sample size is limited, such as clinical biopsies or embryonic stem cells.

The sensors developed here remained completely functional for longer than three months and exhibited reproducible responses for over 40 assessments without replacing the \(TPP^+\) ion selective membrane or Ag/AgCl electrodes. For further improvement on sensor performance and the stability, there are three possible avenues. First, a temperature controller to control medium temperature would enable improved stability of the calibration curve and also bioenergetics studies, which are important to carry out at a known (rather than ambient) temperature. Second, an on-chip stirrer could be integrated into the chamber for continuous mixing during the assay. Lastly, a sensor equipped with an automated sample perfusion system with an encapsulated sensing chamber would provide better stability and measurements compared to a manual sample injection by a micropipette.

**Conclusions**

A wafer-scale fabrication process for a \(TPP^+\) ion selective microsensor for mitochondrial membrane potential assays has been developed and demonstrated. The newly designed process includes the new membrane transfer method which is compatible with microelectronic fabrication techniques resulting in high yield and high throughput process by integrating 6 devices into a single wafer. Further improvement is possible with more effort to increase the number of devices on a single wafer. Due to the improved bonding condition between the \(TPP^+\) ion selective membrane and the bottom PDMS layer, the devices showed structural stability and robustness over an extended period of time (> 3 months) with nominally identical sensitivity changes in \([TPP^+]\). Due to the system design, in the future it is feasible to run several assays in parallel at the same time for high throughput studies. This will allow a cost-effective method to mass-produce miniaturized mitochondrial assay chips, which are candidates to replace the current costly systems installed with large, traditional sensing chambers. For these reasons, our system can find various applications in the emerging field of mitochondrial bioenergetics.
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Supplemental Information:

a) Preparation of isolated mitochondria

Isolation of mouse skeletal muscle mitochondria

Skeletal muscle mitochondria were isolated from C57BL6/J mice maintained in our animal facility. Briefly, the mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, and their soleus muscles placed immediately into ice-cold muscle homogenization buffer (100 mM KCl, 50 mM Tris, 5 mM MgCl2, 1.8 mM ATP, and 1 mM EDTA pH 7.2). The fat and connective tissues were removed, and the muscle chopped into small pieces. The chopped muscle was incubated for 2 minutes in 1 mL of protease medium (1 mL homogenization buffer and 5.66 mg protease from Bacillus licheniformis, 10.6 U/mg), washed twice with homogenization buffer, and transferred to a homogenizer containing fresh homogenization buffer. The muscle was homogenized using a motor driven homogenizer for 10 minutes at 150 rpm. The homogenate is centrifuged at 720 g for 5 minutes. The pellet was resuspended in homogenization buffer, and centrifuged for a further 5 minutes at 720 g. The supernatants were combined and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 minutes. The pellet was resuspended in homogenization buffer, and centrifuged for a further 5 minutes at 9,000 g. The pellet was resuspended in respiration buffer for protein quantification using the BCA Protein Assay (Thermo Scientific, Prod# 23227) and diluted in ice-cold respiration buffer for immediate use.

Isolation of mitochondria Heb7a and 143B TK-osteosarcoma cell lines

Mitochondria were isolated from the HeLa-derived Heb7A and from the 143B TK-osteosarcoma cell lines using a protocol modified from Trounce et al[1]. Approximately 10^7 cells were pelleted, washed in PBS (phosphate buffered saline), and resuspended in ice-cold
H-buffer (210 mM mannitol, 70 mM sucrose, 1 mM EGTA, 5 mM HEPES, 0.5% BSA). The cells were physically sheared with 15–20 passes in a cold dounce and centrifuged at low speed (800×g for 5 min) at 4°C. The cell lysate suspension was incrementally clarified to remove the large cell debris through 4 rounds of low speed spins and the mitochondria were then pelleted with 2 rounds of high speed spins (10 000×g for 20 min). The pellet was resuspended in respiration buffer for protein quantification using the BCA Protein Assay (Thermo Scientific, Prod# 23227) and diluted in ice-cold respiration buffer for immediate use.

b) Composition of respiration buffer

225 mM mannitol, 75 mM sucrose, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.2

c) Discussion on BCA assay

Ultimately, the purpose of our device is to provide a technology which can obtain an accurate and reproducible report of the mitochondrial membrane potential in a minimal amount of isolated mitochondria. For the purposes of this experiment, we were not confined to the tissue culture limitations that can normally affect commercial and research labs. For that reason, we were able to isolate mitochondria in excess of what was needed for our experiments. As such, the BCA protein assay suited our needs well with its broad working range of 20-2000µg/mL. Even with our abundant protein, however, we still routinely dilute our samples 1:50 and 1:100 in water to expand our volume without using up our sample. The BCA colorimetric assay is by no means the only or best method to use for protein determination. In our lab, we also use the NanoDrop Spectrophotometer system to estimate protein concentration. The advantage of this system is that it reads directly from 1µL of sample- no dilution or indicators needed. We generally re-suspended our final mitochondrial
pellet in 20-30µL H-buffer, but for smaller isolation preparations it may be necessary to use a smaller final volume. For this reason, it will be imperative to find a sensitive and reliable procedure for protein quantification.

d) Conversion from [TPP$^+$] to membrane potential

The [TPP$^+$] measurements were converted to membrane potential and compared according to the following equation[2]:

$$\Delta \Psi_m = \frac{RT}{F} \ln \frac{V_0 [TPP^+]_o /[TPP^-]_t - V_t - K_0 P}{V_m P + K_i P}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1S)

where [TPP$^+$]$_o$ and [TPP$^+$]$_t$ represent TPP$^+$ concentration in the test chamber before the addition of mitochondria and at time $t$ respectively. $V_o$ is the initial buffer volume in the chamber and $V_t$ represents the final volume in the chamber which includes the total mass (in mg) of mitochondrial protein ($P$) added in the assay. For our purposes, the mitochondrial matrix volume ($V_m$) was assumed to be equal to 1 µL/mg protein. The partition coefficients describe the innate binding and accumulation of the TPP$^+$ ion to the matrix ($K_i$) and external ($K_o$) faces of the inner membrane and are given values of 7.9 µL/mg and 14.3 µL/mg, respectively[3]. For our purposes, we used the values $V_0 = 70.5$ µL; $V_t = 75.5$ µL and used 750 ng of isolated mitochondrial protein per assay ($P$).

e) Error Analysis
The calibration curves for ISE potential ($V_{\text{ISE}}$) vs. $[\text{TPP}^+]$ done immediately before and immediately after an example experiment is shown in Fig. 2 (c) of the main text. In principle this defines the uncertainty in the inferred value of $[\text{TPP}^+]$ for a given measured value of $V_{\text{ISE}}$. However, in our experiments we also know the value of $[\text{TPP}^+]$ just before introduction of mitochondria into the chamber. (It is purposefully kept at 7.2 µM in all of our experiments, including those from different cell lines, to allow more accurate comparison between cell lines.) Therefore, we are only interested in changes in $[\text{TPP}^+]$ upon introduction of mitochondria into the chamber. To determine the change in $[\text{TPP}^+]$, we need only know the slope of the ISE potential vs. $[\text{TPP}^+]$ calibration curve, in order to convert the change in measured ISE potential to a change in $[\text{TPP}^+]$.

To determine the slope of the curve, we calculate $dV_{\text{ISE}}/d \ln[\text{TPP}^+]$ for the two data points surrounding the central point for the calibration just before and just after the experiment. The choice of the logarithmic slope (as opposed to the linear slope $dV_{\text{ISE}}/d[\text{TPP}^+]$) is due to the linear response of ISE potential to logarithm of TPP$^+$ concentration as observed in Fig. 2 (c) of the main text and also mentioned in other references[2]. Mathematically, this is equivalent to shifting the calibration curves vertically on the $V_{\text{ISE}}$ vs. $\ln[\text{TPP}^+]$ curve until they both agree at 7.2 µM, and then fitting them to equation 2 of the main text in order to determine the extrapolated TPP$^+$ for a given $V_{\text{ISE}}$ away from the initial point of 7.2 µM.
The recorded ISE potential at the beginning of the experiment, before addition of the mitochondria into the chamber, was 1.79 mV. The shifted calibration curves are fit to equation 2 to obtain a set of J and K pairs for each calibration curve (assuming \( V_{\text{ISE}} \) is in units of mV, and \([\text{TPP}^+]\) in units of \(\mu\text{M}\)):

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
 & K (\text{mV}) & J (\text{mV}) \\
\hline
\text{Calibration before test} & 0.2484 & 0.0208 \\
\text{Calibration after test} & 0.2085 & 0.0174 \\
\end{array}
\]

Table 15. Calibration coefficients obtained by curve fitting after vertically shifting the two calibration curves.

In Fig. 2S below, we plot the inferred change in \([\text{TPP}^+]\) vs. the measured change in ISE potential for both calibration slopes using equation 2 and coefficients in table 1S. In Fig. 3S we plot the difference between these two curves, which tells us the error in the inferred change in \([\text{TPP}^+]\) upon introduction of mitochondria into the chamber as a function of
measured change in ISE potential. Typically in our experiments we measure a change of $V_{\text{ISE}}$ of less than 2-3 mV so that our error in the inferred change in [TPP$^+$] is less than about 150 nM. In Fig. 4S, we plot the error in the inferred change in [TPP$^+$] upon introduction of mitochondria into the chamber vs. the actual inferred change in [TPP$^+$] upon introduction of mitochondria into the chamber. (This plot was generated by converting the horizontal axis of Fig. 3S into [TPP$^+$] change using the first calibration slope.) What can be seen is that for inferred changes of less than 1 µM, the error is less than about 120 nM. For changes of [TPP$^+$] less than this, the error is less.

Figure 2S. Change in TPP$^+$ concentration vs. change in ISE potential obtained by inserting the calibration coefficients in Table 1S into equation 2 of the main text. Initial TPP$^+$ concentration and ISE potential are 7.2 µM and 1.79 mV respectively.
Figure 3. Worst case error in calculation of change in \([\text{TPP}^+]\) vs. measured change in ISE potential upon introduction of mitochondria in the sensing chamber.

Figure 4. Worst case error in calculation of change in \([\text{TPP}^+]\) vs. change in \([\text{TPP}^+]\) upon introduction of mitochondria into the sensing chamber.
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