Resistive flow sensing of vital mitochondria with nanoelectrodes
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

We report label-free detection of single mitochondria with high sensitivity using nanoelectrodes. Measurements of the conductance of carbon nanotube transistors show discrete changes of conductance as individual mitochondria flow over the nanoelectrodes in a microfluidic channel. Altering the bioenergetic state of the mitochondria by adding metabolites to the flow buffer induces changes in the mitochondrial membrane potential detected by the nanoelectrodes. During the time when mitochondria are transiently passing over the nanoelectrodes, this (nano) technology is sensitive to fluctuations of the mitochondrial membrane potential with a resolution of 10 mV with temporal resolution of order milliseconds. Fluorescence based assays (in ideal, photon shot noise limited setups) are shown to be an order of magnitude less sensitive than this nano-electronic measurement technology. This opens a new window into the dynamics of an organelle critical to cellular function and fate.

\section{1. Introduction}

Mitochondria regulate calcium homeostasis and generate energy in a cell, control cell fate through the apoptosis cell death pathway, and are believed to be the main producers and targets of reactive oxygen species (Wallace, 2005, 2010). A series of membrane protein complexes (the electron transport chain) converts the chemical energy stored in various metabolic substrates into electrical energy (stored as a membrane potential which varies between 100 and 200 mV in response to physiological conditions) (Nicholls, 2006; Nicholls and Ferguson, 2013), resulting ultimately in the reduction of oxygen to water. The mitochondrial membrane potential energizes the phosphorylation of ADP to ATP through the F\textsubscript{0}F\textsubscript{1} ATP synthase. One of two cell death signaling pathways (the so-called “intrinsic” or mitochondrial signaling pathway) converges at the mitochondrial membrane and causes a dramatic and sudden collapse of the mitochondrial membrane potential, irreversibly committing the cell to death (Galluzzi et al., 2007; Kroemer et al., 2007). This is a highly regulated pathway and clear target for pharmacological manipulation for a variety of diseases including, e.g. cancer (Wallace, 2012; Sarosiek et al., 2013; Rustin and Kroemer, 2008). While the molecular identity of the complex of proteins that leads to this collapse (the so called permeability transition pore (PTP)), presumed to be a large channel, is controversial (Bernardi, 2013), the dynamics and statistics of the membrane potential collapse and in particular of the PTP opening and closing are, to date, impossible to measure with time resolution less than about 0.1 s, in spite of evidence of rapid flickering of the membrane potential. New, faster assays of the mitochondrial membrane potential could therefore provide important new information about a biophysical quantity that is critical for cellular energy production and, through apoptosis, cell fate.

The simplest and most convenient method to assay the membrane potential utilizes a charged, lipophilic fluorescence dye (typically Tetramethylrhodamine, Methyl Ester Pervololate, known as TMRM) that can freely pass through a lipid bilayer membrane. The dye concentration inside the mitochondria (and hence measured fluorescence intensity) changes in response to the membrane potential through the Nernst relationship. Although convenient and prevalent, this technique is limited in spatial resolution since the entire mitochondrion is typically a few pixels in size, and in temporal resolution because of the weak fluorescence signal. Although fluctuations of the membrane potential in time have been demonstrated (“flickering”) (Duchen et al., 1998; Huser and Blatter, 1999; Diaz, 2000; Kriipeit-drews et al., 2000; Buckman and Reynolds, 2001; Vergun et al., 2003; Aon et al., 2003; O’Reilly et al., 2004; Falchi et al., 2005; Hattori et al., 2005; Higuchi et al., 2005; Vergun and Reynolds, 2005; Kurz et al., 2010; Nicholls, 2012; Schwarzländer et al., 2012), especially in the context of apoptosis...
and cell death, limitations on fluorescence assays have prevented investigation of the spatial distribution of the membrane potential along the length of the mitochondria, and flickering/fluctuations with time scales less than about 100 ms (Gerencser and Adam-Vizi, 2005), even though they are believed to be due to the dynamic opening and closing of the PTP.

In this work, we demonstrate proof of concept of an alternative method to measure mitochondrial membrane potential using nanotube electrodes which sense the mitochondria as they flow passed the electrodes one by one. Although we have not applied this technology yet to study the important biophysical problem of flickering, with this prototype system we demonstrate a statistical noise resolution on the membrane potential measurement of better than 10 mV in 3 ms, at least an order of magnitude faster than any published fluorescence membrane potential measurement. In this work this assay is performed during the time when mitochondria are transiently passing over the nanoelectrodes, but in principle this can be applied to permanently
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a sensitive probe of the mitochondrial membrane potential, and probes the bioenergetics state of individual mitochondria. Thus, we have clearly demonstrated an empirical correlation between the average pulse heights and the energization state of the mitochondria. Since it is known that membrane potential changes for energized and de-energized mitochondria, we have an empirical calibration of the pulse height-membrane potential relationship. Because we also measure the noise of the current, we can thus estimate the noise of our membrane potential measurement, and relate it to the more mature fluorescence technique in detail below.

2.4. Independent membrane potential verification

In a series of control experiments, we verified that the substrate fed mitochondria sustained a large membrane potential compared to substrate deprived mitochondria. This was done using the membrane potential sensitive TMRE dye and several conditions. First, the mitochondria prior to introduction into the channel were imaged inside the inlet reservoir using fluorescence microscopy and then immediately after passing through the channel at the waste reservoir. The TMRE intensity did not change significantly, indicating that the mitochondria sustained their membrane potential while they passed through the channel, and the sufficient oxygen was available in the channel to

Fig. 1. Structure and DC characterization of the mitochondrial nanoelectrode sensor device. (a) Optical image of the quartz wafer with 6 devices; (b) and (c) Bright field microscope images of the device with 20 × and 60 × magnifications; (d) Scanning electron micrograph exhibiting the carbon nanotubes deposited on the wafer surface; (e) Schematic showing the relative location of the carbon nanotube transistor and the flowing mitochondria; (f) Schematic showing the DC measurement set-up; (g) Drain-Source current $I_{ds}$ vs. (electrolyte) gate voltage for a 3 μm × 3 μm device at different applied drain-source voltages.

Fig. 2. Mitochondria detection set-up. (a) Fluorescence image of HeLa cells labeled with TMRM dye. TMRM stains the mitochondria inside the cells. Mitochondria are isolated from HeLa cells and suspended in buffer; (b) Schematic depicting the electrical measurement with lock-in amplifier. Buffer containing the isolated mitochondria is pipetted in the inlet reservoir of the channel and flows through the channel; (c) Fluorescence image of TMRM labeled individual mitochondria in the fluidic channel.
maintain respiration. Note that the PDMS is permeable to oxygen so no oxygen deficiency is expected (Pham et al., 2016). As a second independent control experiment, we used membrane potential sensitive dye JC1 and TMRE to semi-quantitatively measure the membrane potential of mitochondria trapped at impurities in narrow nanochannels. These indicated that the mitochondria sustain a membrane potential over times longer than our resistive pulse sensing experiments. In a third series of independent experiments, we verified that introduction of substrates just before introduction of mitochondria into the channels increased the membrane potential, as determined by TMRE fluorescence intensity. Thus, three independent experiments using only fluorescence indicators confirm that the mitochondria are energized by

![Carbon nanotube detection of vital, individual mitochondria. (a) As a mitochondrion approaches the nanotube electrodes, the source drain current starts to change, and when the mitochondrion moves past the transistor channel area, the device current goes back to the baseline; (b) Conductance vs. time data recorded from a device without mitochondria in the flow buffer (black trace) and with mitochondria flowing in the channel (red trace). The spikes in the red curve correspond to mitochondria passing over the sensor area. This device is biased at a (liquid) gate voltage of $-1$ V; (c) The magnitude and direction of spikes depends on the gate bias voltage. The current change is negative for negative bias voltages, indicating a negative shift of the device threshold voltage as mitochondria approach the device. This has been reproduced nine times on nine separately fabricated devices. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image)

![Effect of the metabolic/bioenergetic state of the mitochondria on the conductance change. (a) Addition of different reagents to the reservoir during the measurement alters the membrane potential of mitochondria. Initially mitochondria were suspended in a substrate free buffer and flown into the channel; next mitochondria were energized by adding sodium succinate to a final concentration of 10 mM; finally CCCP was added to the reservoir (final concentration 50 μM) to depolarize the mitochondria; (b) Normalized scatter plot showing the distribution of the events observed for energized and de-energized mitochondria. Detection of energized mitochondria has been reproduced nine times on nine separately fabricated devices.](image)
substrates, that they sustain a membrane potential, and that the membrane potential is larger in the presence of substrates. These can be taken as independent verification that the mitochondria are indeed “vital” even when inside the nanochannels. Note that a significant variation in the fluorescence intensity of individual mitochondria was observed, indicating significant heterogeneity in the mitochondrial population, independent of the nanoelectrode experiments. These were reported in detail in Zand et al. (2013). In the fluorescence experiments, variations in the fluorescence intensity of JC1 labeled mitochondria were up to a factor of 10 from one mitochondria to the next. Since each mitochondria was one voxel in our microscope, we did not have the capability to ascertain the difference in volume of each mitochondria, and therefore we could not determine of the variation was the membrane potential or the mitochondrial volume.

2.5. Variability

The high variability in the conductance change from one mitochondrion to the next in the succinate stimulated case could be due to three sources: 1) Flickering of membrane potential and the PTP or depolarization through damage during isolation, or 2) variation in the distance between the mitochondria and the Nanoelectrodes, or 3) differing size of mitochondria, causing a varying conversion factor from conductance change to membrane potential. For this reason, the absolute membrane potential of a single mitochondrion will be difficult to calibrate in the existing configuration. Therefore, the significance of this experiment is that it demonstrates the ability to assay ensemble averages in response to different chemical environments. What is even more significant is that fast fluctuations (even if not calibrated absolutely) can be assayed with unprecedented temporal resolution. We turn to the calibration and sensitivity next.

2.6. Mitochondrial membrane potential fluctuations can be quantitatively assayed

Our measurements above clearly show the conductance change is sensitive to the metabolic state of the individual mitochondria (i.e. to its membrane potential). While an absolute calibration of the membrane potential is challenging, it is clear that we can sensitively assay changes in the membrane potential from one mitochondrion to the next, and as a function of the metabolic state of the mitochondria in response to its biochemical environment. We now discuss how to calibrate the sensitivity of this technique to differences in membrane potential from the measured conductance change.

The change in the conductance of the nanoelectrode is larger at larger mitochondrial membrane potentials. Due to the capacitive nature of the detection mechanism, the assumption of a linear signal relationship between the magnitude of change in conductance and the membrane potential of mitochondria is reasonable. For purposes of calibration we assume a resting membrane potential of 150 mV (Nicholls and Ferguson, 2013). In practice, this may vary between 120 and 200 mV (Nicholls and Ferguson, 2013). Although not the main focus of this work, the absolute calibration, which rests on this assumption, therefore may be off by up to 50%.

With this caveat, we can calibrate the sensitivity of the device by measuring the current change from baseline. In our proof of concept devices, at a gate bias voltage of −1 V, an average of 0.1 nA (4.5%) current change from the base line occurs as the energized mitochondria pass over the detector. This gives a current to membrane potential conversion factor of typically 0.1 nA per 150 mV of mitochondrial membrane potential. Using this, an estimate for the statistical noise (not the systematic noise) in the membrane potential measurement can be ascertained.

The conductance is measured as a function of time. In order to reduce the noise on the conductance measurement, a low pass filter is used at the output of the lock in analyzer (see Methods).

The magnitude of the measured signal noise depends on the integration time of this filter. With an integration time of 3 ms, the measured standard deviation of the current in the absence of mitochondria ranged from as low as 1.2 pA to as high as 8 pA. For error calculations we assumed a standard deviation of 6 pA. This corresponds to a maximum of 9 mV of error in the measurement of a membrane potential of ~150 mV for a measurement time of 3 ms. This is an unprecedented measurement as the prior best result has a measurement time of almost 2 orders of magnitude longer (100 ms) based on fluorescence, and most fluorescence measurements are even longer than that (second). It should be pointed out that the noise sources in our electronic measurement have not been analyzed in detail and this measurement represents an initial proof of concept spot measurement of the noise that could be improved with further effort. We next compare the prototype device realized in our lab with the fundamental limit that fluorescence microscopy can be expected to provide under optimum conditions, as well as practical conditions with various commercially available camera systems.

2.7. Comparing the fundamental and practical limits of fluorescence membrane potential assays

Fluorescence imaging is the established method for the study of membrane potential of single mitochondria using membrane potential sensitive dyes (“voltage dyes”) (Loew et al., 1993; Scaduto and Grotjohann, 1999). In this method the membrane potential indicator is generally a lipophilic cationic fluorescence dye that is taken up by mitochondria in proportion to the membrane potential according to the Nernst equation. Mitochondria with higher membrane potential accumulate more dye in the matrix. The fluorescence intensity of the dye is dependent (usually linearly) on the concentration of dye. Fluorescence intensity of the dye is detected inside and outside of the mitochondrion and the ratio is used to calculate the membrane potential.

Although this is a powerful tool, it has some disadvantages associated with it. First, high intensity illumination generates reactive oxygen species in mitochondria and leads to phototoxicity which in many cases actually causes ROS induced depolarization of the membrane potential one is trying to study (Zorov et al., 2000). This effect (in addition to photobleaching of the fluorescence dye) constrains the maximum illumination intensity that can be used, and hence the maximum brightness that can be expected from the fluorophores.

In the supporting information, we provide a detailed analysis of the noise sources of fluorescence microscopy, by first determining the noise on the measurement of the fluorescence intensity, and then converting that to a noise on the measured membrane potential. Noise sources on the fluorescence intensity measurement can come from many sources, but in a properly optimized lab setup, are dominated by three main contributions:

1) Shot noise of the emitted light due to the discrete nature of the photon
2) Dark current noise on the photodetector
3) Read noise on the camera readout electronics.

These noise sources are indicated schematically in Fig. 5a. The effect of the photon shot noise on the membrane potential error was analyzed in Gerencser and Nicholls (2008) for their particular experimental conditions. In this work, we generalize this analysis to provide a comprehensive understanding of noise vs. integration time for typical and best case experimental conditions (Peterka et al., 2011). With mitochondria, since the organelles are so small (in fact in many cases diffraction limited to one pixel/voxel), and since in mitochondria the dynamics are not well understood (in contrast to neurons, where Hodgkin-Huxley model explains the important aspects of action potential dynamics), this analysis is even more critical. Therefore, a detailed analysis of these noise sources is presented in the supplemental...
In general, longer measurement (i.e. integration) times result in lower noise. In order to compare apples to apples, in Fig. 5 we present the statistical error in the membrane potential for our measured devices (electronic), as well as the fundamental limits of the accuracy of fluorescence measurement of membrane potential changes and typical practical use cases, all as a function of integration time. The plot clearly indicates that the nanoelectrode device in the set-up reported in this work achieves a dramatically lower measurement error compared to fluorescence microscopy. In order to interpret this in the time domain, in Fig. 5c we compare normalized simulated time traces for measured fluorescence intensity for different integration times and the electrically measured current of the nanoelectrodes from this work. The difference in noise levels is striking, and confirms that this nanoelectronic assay provides a new window into mitochondrial dynamics at the ms timescale.

### 3. Discussion

#### 3.1. Sensing mechanism: surface charge

Table 1: Specifications and coefficients used to drive the accuracy of membrane potential measurements by fluorescence microscopy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microscope system</th>
<th>TMRM characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illumination</td>
<td>Absorption/ emission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 W-mercury lamp</td>
<td>549/574 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter cube</td>
<td>Quantum yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRITC</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil immersion</td>
<td>Extinction coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 ×</td>
<td>1.1 × 10^5 M^-1 cm^-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan-Apochromat</td>
<td>Absorption cross section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA = 1.4</td>
<td>3.824 × 10^-19 cm^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field number: 22 mm</td>
<td>Concentration (nonquench)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOI = 0.001 cm^3</td>
<td>1–30 nM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mitochondrial matrix volume: 0.04–0.08 μm^3 (Käänik et al., 2007).
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### 3. Discussion

#### 3.1. Sensing mechanism: surface charge

Prior measurements have shown that the surface charge of the mitochondria (which is responsible for gating our nanotubes) is related to the membrane potential (Fig. S2 in Supporting information) (Kamo et al., 1976). However, studies with similar membrane potential probes have indicated that these measurements generally have to be corrected for probe binding in a manner that is sensitive to surface charge effects (Rottenberg, 1984) and other investigators have found that mitochondrial membrane surface charge is generally unrelated to the
transmembrane potential difference that constitutes the major component of the proton motive force (Hashimoto and Rottenberg, 1983; Wojtczak et al., 1987). In future work, with additional measurements (e.g. zeta potential measurements, or more systematic experiments to quantify the effect of buffer ionic strength on the pulse heights), it will be important to further determine the relative effects of surface charge from transmembrane potential on the response of the device. Note that regardless of the detailed zeta potential, there is always some charge present on any membrane that sustains a potential, and if this is within the debye length of the nanotube, the nanotube will detect it electrically.

3.2. Significance & future work

Although our prototype device is first in class proof of concept, it is still superior to the fundamental limit of fluorescence microscopy. By optimizing the device design and measurement conditions, we anticipate that further improvement in the electrical measurement. Ultimately, the electronic sampling frequency can be increased as high as the cut-off frequency of the nanotube transistor, which can be in the GHz range.

In this work, the mitochondria are not trapped permanently on top of the nanoelectrodes, but rather are transiently analyzed as they flow past, similar to how flow cytometry analyzes fluorescence properties in a flow system, hence the term resistive flow cytometry. Although our noise floor is superior to fluorescence, the next obvious step would be to develop a technology to attach the mitochondria to the nanoelectrodes and analyze them permanently, rather than transiently. Such a technology, while beyond the scope of this manuscript, it currently under development in our labs.

At this point, we have no indication that we have been capturing fluctuations of mitochondrial membrane potential, as opposed to device noise. If the mitochondria are indeed “silent”, this would not show up in our measurements. The only conclusion we can draw is that the detectable noise limit (mitochondrial flicker PLUS measurement apparatus noise) is quantitatively improved as a technology using nanoelectronics as compared to fluorescence.

Finally, we note that this technology is reproducible and robust, with multiple repeats of measurements. In the supplemental information we provide statistics about the yield of device fabrication. In some cases, the fabrication process failed prior to testing the device on vital mitochondria. However, in all cases in which the device fabrication process succeeded, we observed current pulses as vital mitochondria traversed the device. This has been reproduced nine times on nine separately fabricated devices.

In this work, the measurement is on vital mitochondria, with both the inner and outer membrane intact. This has the potent advantage of preserving biological function that relies on both membranes. A potential increase in sensitivity could come by using mitoplasts which unfold the inner membrane. In fact, prior work initially by Zoratti/Sorgato (Sorgato et al., 1987; Petronilli et al., 1989) and followed up on by other groups (Kirichok et al., 2004; Fedorenko et al., 2012; Fieni et al., 2012) has been able to use mitoplast patch-clamp, and have been successfully applied in the past to resolve biological questions. In comparison to this work, the patch-clamp allows direct control of the membrane potential, with the added complication of impaling the membrane.

Just as the development of fluorescent means to detect membrane potential gave rise do decades of experimental data on the electrophysiology of mitochondria, we also expect this new technology has the potential to provide a plethora of new knowledge about mitochondrial dynamics. For example, addition of rotenone will make the succinate-induced energization more consistent. Also, if the variability is in part due to ‘flickering’ or transient opening of a permeability transition pore, the range of measured conductance changes may be restricted by chelating calcium with 0.1 mM EGTA or by addition of cyclosporine A. In addition, future possible experiments to more quantitatively calibrate the system could include a partial depletion of the membrane potential (e.g. by addition of ADP (State 3), or by using a lower concentration of uncoupler, or by partial inhibition of succinate oxidation with malonate or an ETC inhibitor.

4. Conclusions

This work shows for the first time that single isolated mitochondria can be directly detected by changes in conductance of carbon nanotube devices, as mitochondria flow one by one over the carbon nanotubes in a microfluidic channel. The surface charge of mitochondria induces a change in the threshold voltage of the carbon nanotubes that leads to changes in the transistor conductance. The magnitude of this conductance change depends on the energization state of the mitochondria and therefore can be used as a method for rapid, label free assessment of mitochondria membrane potential. Our analysis reveals that electrical measurements of membrane potential provide orders of magnitude higher time resolution and accuracy compared to the conventional method of fluorescence microscopy for study of the membrane potential of isolated mitochondria. Thus, this work is a step towards high resolution, high throughput measurements of single mitochondria bioenergetics.

5. Methods

5.1. Device fabrication

Four inch quartz wafer is cleaned with hot Piranha solution for 1 h, followed by carbon nanotube deposition according to the procedure described in Rouhi et al. (2011a). In short, the cleaned quartz wafer is immersed in 1% 3-aminopropyltriethoxy silane (APTES) in isopropanol solution for 1 h. This treatment creates a monolayer of amine terminated silane on the surface. This monolayer helps with selective absorption of semiconductive carbon nanotubes. The quartz wafer is then rinsed with isopropanol and purified 99% semiconducting carbon nanotube solution (IsoNanotubes-S 99%, NanoInetrgis Inc.) is drop-cast on the surface. The carbon nanotube (CNT) solution is left on the surface for 1 h. Subsequently the wafer is rinsed with DI water and baked in a 60 °C oven overnight. Alternatively, a vacuum filtration method was used for nanotube deposition. In this method, single-walled nanotubes (SWNT) network was obtained by vacuum filtration of 99% purity semiconducting carbon nanotube ink onto mixed cellulose membrane with 25 nm pore size (MFMillipore VSWP04700). 600 μl of CNT ink (IsoNanotubes-S 99% diluted in DI water to a concentration of 1 μg/ml) was filtered through the membrane resulting in a uniform coated CNT network film. Followed by 200 μl DI water rinse to remove residual surfactant, a CNT network film on mixed cellulose membrane was made and stored for transferring. Soda lime glass was used as the substrate and treated with 1:3 (v/v) H2O2: H2SO4 solution for 40 min at 140 °C to achieve a clean surface. Premade SWNT network film was then moistened with ethanol and placed in contact with the cleaned substrate. After 1 h immersion of the device in acetone vapor, most of the mixed cellulose membrane was dissolved and the SWNT network was bonded to the substrate. The residual cellulose was removed in acetone and methanol step by step under carefully tuned condition (30 min in 50 °C warmed up acetone with stir speed 60 rpm and 20 min in methanol at 50 °C with stir speed 60 rpm). After IPA rinse and N2 gas blow dry, a large area uniform SWNT network on glass substrates was made.

The Ti(5 nm)/Pd (15 nm)/Au (30 nm) electrodes are deposited by E-beam evaporation and patterned by liftoff procedure using poly-methylglutaralimide (PMGI) SF6 and Microposit SC 1827 (MicroChem Corp) photoresists. Fluidic channels are fabricated by soft lithography of Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS). Silicone elastomer and curing agent (Sylgard® 184, Dow Corning Co.) are mixed at a 10:1 ratio, degassed
and poured over a silicon mold. The mold is placed in a 60 °C curing oven overnight. After curing, PDMS is cut and peeled off from the mold. Inlet reservoir and outlet hole are punched, followed by exposure of the PDMS channels to 70 W oxygen plasma. This treatment takes 30 s and turns the PDMS from hydrophobic to hydrophilic; this improves the bond with the quartz wafer and also enhances the fluid flow by capillary force. The PDMS chip is immersed in methanol immediately after the oxygen plasma treatment. Then the PDMS channel is placed on the quartz wafer and manually aligned under a microscope. Methanol lowers the friction between the CNT device and the PDMS, enabling the alignment without PDMS sticking to the substrate and damaging the transistor device. After the alignment the methanol evaporates and the PDMS bonds to the quartz wafer. The device is baked at 60 °C for 30 min to improve the bonding.

The PDMS channel consists of a 2 μm wide, 1 μm deep, 500 μm long flow channel, connected to two wider (100 μm) access channels with a 70 μl inlet reservoir and an outlet hole to introduce the liquid. The access channel lengths are 2 cm. Different source-drain electrodes widths and gaps ranging from 3 to 20 μm have been fabricated. Alternatively, device arrays with various channel lengths were then patterned on the SWNT network by a standard photolithography. Ti (2 nm)/Pd (20 nm)/Au (50 nm) were deposited by ebeam evaporation, followed by a liftoff process to form contact electrodes. Final step of ebeam lithography was used to open windows (2 μm by 10 μm) in the channel region, leaving the electrodes protected under photore sist and SWNT network exposed. PDMS reservoir was aligned on the device array for delivering aqueous solution.

5.2. Electrical measurement

For conductance versus time measurements, 10 mV AC voltage at 10 kHz is applied between the drain-source electrodes and the current is measured using an SR-830 lock-in amplifier. By increasing the integration time and the order of the lock-in amplifier low pass filter the output becomes less noisy. The time constant and the filter order also determine how long it takes for the filter output signal to respond to changes in the device conductance. The integration time was set at 3 ms. Therefore, for a first order filter, 3 ms is the 1/e time to detect abrupt changes in the nanoelectrode conductance, and is the rate limiting step in the conductance measurement. In our set-up we used a second order filter, the integration time for each stage of the filter was 3 ms. This resulted in a delay time (time it takes for the measured current to reach 50% of its final value) of 5 ms. A custom built semiconductor parameter analyzer was used to measure the DC transport curve of the devices.

5.3. Cell culture and mitochondria isolation

Mitochondria were isolated from the human cervical cancer cell line HeLa (ATCC, CCL-2). The adherent cells were cultured and maintained in log growth phase in media consisting of EEMEM (ATCC, 30-2003) supplemented with 10% FBS (Invitrogen, 10438-018) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (ATCC, 30-2300). All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma Aldrich, unless otherwise noted. The mitochondrial isolation protocol was adapted from Lim et al. (2010). Briefly, cells were harvested at 100% confluence in a T-75 flask. Approximately 8 × 10^6 cells were pelleted and washed in Phosphate Buffered Saline. Ice-cold H-buffer (210 mM mannitol, 70 mM sucrose, 1 mM EGTA, 5 mM HEPES, 0.5% BSA, pH adjusted to 7.2 with 1 M KOH) was used in all of the following isolation steps. The cells were physically sheared with 20 passes in an ice-cold dounce homogenizer and centrifuged at low speed (800 × g for 5 min) at 4 °C in an Eppendorf 5417R centrifuge. The cell lysate was further purified for the removal of cell debris through 2 additional rounds of low speed spins. The resulting supernatant was subjected to 2 rounds of high speed centrifugation (10,000 × g for 20 min). BSA-free H-buffer was used to re-suspend the resulting pellet, which was spun again at high speed. The isolated mitochondrial sample was pelleted and diluted in ice-cold respiration buffer (225 mM Mannitol, 75 mM Sucrose, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM KH2PO4, pH adjusted to 7.2 with 1 M KOH) and used. 1:1, 1:2, 1:10 dilutions of the suspension were used in protein determination with the BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, 23227).

5.4. Fluorescence imaging

For fluorescence assays of membrane potential mitochondria were labeled by TMRM (Life Technologies) in non-quench mode. TMRM was dissolved in DMSO and added to the mitochondrial respiration buffer to a final concentration of 30 nM. Mitochondria were incubated with TMRM for 20 min prior to imaging. Mitochondria were imaged with an Olympus IX71 inverted fluorescence microscope, equipped with a 12 bit monochromatic CCD camera (QIClick-F-M-12), a LUCPLFLN Semi-Apochromat 60 ×, 0.7 NA objective, 120 W Mercury vapor excitation light source and standard FITC (490 nm–525 nm) and TRITC (557 nm–576 nm) filters. Image analysis was performed with ImageJ software.

5.5. Mitochondrial injection into channel

50 μl respiration buffer is pipetted inside the reservoir, the capillary force carries the buffer inside the channel resulting in a flow rate of approximately 0.01 μl/h Mitochondria suspension and other reagents are pipetted into the same reservoir. To reduce the electrical measurement noise a syringe pump is not used. The channel experiments were performed at room temperature. The mitochondrial protein concentration was titrated as in our recent work (Fig. S10 in that reference) to enable only on average one mitochondria at a time to flow through the channel. Typical concentrations were 1–10 μg/ml.

The exposure time required to image the flowing mitochondria is long, therefore it is not possible to image the mitochondria as they are flowing past the CNT device; but we had previously developed a method to decrease the height of the flow channel to 500 nm and trap the mitochondria inside the flow channel (Zand et al., 2013). This method allowed us to verify that mitochondria enter the channel one by one and they remain vital and functional.
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Mobility Estimate

The interfacial capacitance (gate capacitance) is composed of three components; the electrolyte-CNT double layer capacitance, tube-tube capacitances and quantum capacitance of carbon nanotubes. Electrolyte-CNT double layer capacitance for salty water is around $7 \times 10^{-9}$ F/m, and the tube-tube interactions are negligible. The quantum capacitance of a carbon nanotube is $4 \times 10^{-10}$ F/m, which is more than 10 times smaller than the contribution from the double layer capacitance and therefore is the dominant component of the gate-carbon nanotube capacitance. The total interfacial capacitance is approximately 1 µF/cm$^2$. Based on this capacitance estimation mobility for holes is calculated as $0.6$ cm$^2/(V\cdot s)$ and the calculated electron mobility is $0.15$ cm$^2/(V\cdot s)$.

Device fabrication yield and statistics

Device fabrication yield was around 36% from start to finish. A complete, functional device is defined as a device with nanotubes in the channel that responds to a gate voltage and with no leakage of electrolyte out of the channel at the PDMS-glass bond interface, and with low enough noise of the device to measure mitochondrial pulses.

Twenty-two fabrication starts (defined as commencing the first step in device fabrication) were performed. Of these, 8 functional devices were successfully completed. This resulted in a device fabrication yield of approximately 36%. Failure modes were, for example, lack of sufficient
density of deposited nanotubes to carry current from source to drain, failure of PDMS to adhere to the substrate causing fluid leakage out of the channel, failure of the metal contact electrodes to adhere to the substrate, failure of the nanotube current to respond to electrolyte gate voltage. In a few (4) fabrication runs, devices had 1/f flicker noise that exceeded the signal mitochondrial current pulses expected based on other devices. (See Figure S1). We count these as not having yielded complete, functional device.

Figure S1. Noise power spectrum for two different traces. The devices with the blue noise power was sensitive to mitochondria. The other device has higher flicker noise; it was not possible to detect the mitochondria using this device.

In our experiments, the measured noise of the nanoelectrode devices varied from device to device. Results reported here are from devices with SNR values of 1600 to 270 at a time constant
of 3 ms (in the described measurement conditions). The factors contributing to this noise requires further analysis and is not discussed in this study.

**Mitochondrial sensing: Yield and statistics**

All of the devices, once successfully fabricated, devices displayed sensitivity to flow of mitochondria.

The bias conditions and noise magnitude for experiments are given in Table S1. All the traces with changes in conductance in response to mitochondria are shown in Figure S2 (panels a and b). The error calculations in the text are based on typical changes in source-drain current (100 pA) and typical noise values (6 pA) of the 5 shown traces. One device was tested with 0.5 µm carboxylate modified polystyrene beads, and showed sensitivity to flow of the beads.

Note that the bias voltage of both polarities was used, and the current pulses observed when mitochondria passed over the electrodes changed when the bias voltage polarity changed. This evidence confirms the electrical interpretation of the measurement presented in the main text. (See below, section on sign of threshold voltage shift).
Figure S2. Experiment results. a) Time traces with energized mitochondria flowing in the channel. The applied gate voltage is -1 V, applied through an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Downward spikes are observed. b) Time traces with energized mitochondria flowing in the channel. The applied gate voltage is 1 V, applied through an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The Drain-Source current tends to drift at high positive gate voltages, as a result the upward spikes are more difficult to observe compared to negative gate voltages. c) Time traces with Carboxylate-Modified 0.5 µm polystyrene beads flowing in the channel. The applied gate voltage is -1 V, downward spikes are observed.
Table S1. List of all experiments with mitochondria flowing in the channels. Blue colored rows indicate the experiments in which spikes were observed in drain-source current in response to flow of energized mitochondria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Device #</th>
<th>CNT batch &amp; Deposition Method</th>
<th>Bias Condition</th>
<th>$I_{ds}$ Noise</th>
<th>Spike Mag ( Approx.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/10/13</td>
<td>010412KZ02</td>
<td>Aug 2011, APTES</td>
<td>$V_g= -1 \text{ V}, I_{ds}=2.2 \text{ nA}, V_{ds}= 20 \text{ mV}$</td>
<td>7 pA</td>
<td>150 pA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/10/13</td>
<td>061212KZ01</td>
<td>Aug 2011, APTES</td>
<td>$V_g= -1 \text{ V}, I_{ds}=2.8 \text{ nA}, V_{ds}= 10 \text{ mV}$</td>
<td>1.7 pA</td>
<td>100 pA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/18/13</td>
<td>061212KZ01</td>
<td>Aug 2011, APTES</td>
<td>$V_g= -1 \text{ V}, I_{ds}=2 \text{ nA}, V_{ds}= 10 \text{ mV}$</td>
<td>1.2 pA</td>
<td>120 pA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/18/13</td>
<td>010413KZ02</td>
<td>Aug 2011, APTES</td>
<td>$V_g= 1 \text{ V}, I_{ds}=4.5 \text{ nA}, V_{ds}=10 \text{ mV (current drifts)}$</td>
<td>4 pA</td>
<td>250 pA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/24/13</td>
<td>010413KZ02</td>
<td>Aug 2011, APTES</td>
<td>$V_g= 1 \text{ V}, I_{ds}=0.6 \text{ nA}, V_{ds}=10 \text{ mV (current drifts)}$</td>
<td>8 pA</td>
<td>80 pA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/01/15</td>
<td>081015JL02</td>
<td>Aug 2011, Vacuum</td>
<td>$V_g= -1 \text{ V}, I_{ds}=5.5 \text{ nA}, V_{ds}=10 \text{ mV}$</td>
<td>100 pA</td>
<td>1-2.5 nA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/01/15</td>
<td>081015JL03</td>
<td>Aug, 2011, Vacuum</td>
<td>$V_g= -1 \text{ V}, I_{ds}=0.7 \text{ nA}, V_{ds}=10 \text{ mV}$</td>
<td>Data not saved</td>
<td>Data not saved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/19/15</td>
<td>081015JL04</td>
<td>Aug 2011, Vacuum</td>
<td>$V_g = -0.5 \text{ V}, I_{ds}=0.7 \text{ nA}, V_{ds}=100 \text{ mV}$</td>
<td>50 pA</td>
<td>Control no Spike</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Threshold voltage shift

For a negative gate voltage, mitochondria induce a decrease in the conductance, whereas if the device is biased at a positive gate voltage the current changes are in the opposite direction. This trend shows a negative shift in the threshold voltage of carbon nanotube field-effect transistor (CNTFET). We attribute this change to induction of electrons in the carbon nanotubes due to the surface charge of the mitochondria. The inner mitochondrial membrane maintains a
transmembrane potential. The transmembrane potential is created as protons are pumped into the intermembrane space as a result of redox reactions in the electron transport chain. The anions remain in the matrix and cause a negative charge density in the mitochondrial matrix while the positive ions accumulate outside of the mitochondria and screen the negative charges in the matrix $^3$. The details of the distribution of charges on the mitochondrial surface is not known yet. Our measurements indicate the induction of a negative charge density in the CNT mat.

Figure S3. The magnitude and direction of spikes depend on the gate bias voltage, the current change is negative for negative bias voltages and positive for positive bias voltages, indicating a negative shift of the device threshold voltage mitochondria approach the device.
Figure S4: Linear relationship between mitochondrial membrane potential and surface potential (adapted from Table 1 in reference 4 and Figure 2 in reference 5). In the original reference, the membrane potential of the isolated mitochondria was measured with an ion-selective electrode, and the electrophoretic mobility was measured using a micro-electrophoretic device. The electrophoretic mobility was used to calculate the surface potential (surface charge density). The surface potential and membrane potential were given separately versus different mitochondrial bioenergetics stimulants and inhibitors; here those data are merged to plot the surface potential versus membrane potential. This shows a clear linear relationship between the two parameters.

Comparing the fundamental and practical limits of fluorescence membrane potential assays
The fluorescence intensity of the dye can be measured by a wide-field or confocal microscope. Confocal microscopy leads to a better spatial resolution compared to the wide field Epi-fluorescence microscope, it also enables 3-D reconstruction of the image and elimination of out of focus fluorescence. On the other hand, it needs a higher excitation light intensity that induces faster photo-bleaching of the fluorophore and might also cause phototoxicity in mitochondria. Here we base our analysis on wide field fluorescence microscopy of TMRM labeled mitochondria. TMRM has been shown to have the lowest non-Nernstian binding to the membrane and therefore is suitable for quantitative measurements of membrane potential.
In order to determine the precision of the measurement of the membrane potential, we first determine the noise on the measurement of the fluorescence intensity, and later convert that to a noise on the measured membrane potential. Noise sources on the fluorescence intensity measurement can come from many sources, but in a properly optimized lab setup, are dominated by three main contributions:

1) Shot noise of the emitted light due to the discrete nature of the photon
2) Dark current noise on the photodetector
3) Read noise on the camera readout electronics.

We next estimate the statistical noise in the measured fluorescence intensity from these main contributions, using typical parameters for a membrane potential experiment (Table 1). At a typical power density of 60 W/cm², the flux of photons incident on a 1 µm² mitochondrion is $1.7 \times 10^{12}$ photon.s⁻¹. Although it is possible to use higher powers to illuminate mitochondria, phototoxicity effects have been reported for even lower illumination powers.¹⁵,¹¹

How many photons does a TMRM labeled mitochondrion emit per second? Our calculations (see below) show that based on the information in Table 1, for mitochondria labeled with 30 nM TMRM, the flux of photons emitted by a mitochondrion with a membrane potential of -150 mV arriving at the camera detector can be estimated as 2000 photons/s.

**Noise of fluorescence intensity measurements**
Fluorescence measurement is fundamentally limited by the statistical uncertainty of photon emission and detection. The rate of electron generation in the photodetector is not a constant value but follows a Poisson distribution. The average value ($S_{avg}$) and variance ($\sigma^2$) in the number of electrons generated in the photo-detector in an exposure time of $t_0$ is equal to:

\[
S_{avg} = \frac{\text{Flux of photons}}{\text{Exposure time}}
\]

\[
\sigma^2 = S_{avg}
\]
Here $f_0$ is the average number of incident photons per pixel per unit time and $Q_0$ is the quantum efficiency of the detector. This variance generates a noise (shot noise or Poisson noise, $N_p$) in the number of the detected electrons that is given by:

$$N_p = \sqrt{f_0 Q_0 t_0} \quad (#electrons) \quad (3)$$

The lowest bound on the noise in fluorescence microscopy is reached with an ideal detector, where $N_p$ is the only source of noise and camera quantum efficiency is 1. In case of imaging TMRM labeled mitochondria, the total photon flux emitted by a mitochondrion is estimated as 2000 photons/s. The lowest shot noise is achieved when all the photons arrive at a single pixel ($f_0 = 2000 \text{ photons/pixel/s}$). This gives rise to a signal to noise ratio of:

$$SNR_{\text{limit}} = \frac{S_{\text{avg}}}{N_p} = \frac{2000 t_0}{\sqrt{2000 t_0}} \approx 45 \sqrt{t_0} \quad (4)$$

The magnitude of the noise and also SNR (signal to noise ratio) depend on the duration of the exposure time (also referred to as the integration time).

We next take into account the practical case where other sources of camera noise are present and the quantum efficiency is smaller than 1. In this case, other major sources of noise are the camera dark noise, $N_D$, which is caused by thermally created electron-hole pairs in camera pixels, also following a Poisson distribution ($N_D = \sqrt{D t_0}$), and the camera read noise, $N_R$, from the camera amplifier circuit (Figure 5a). $^{42}$ The values for $D$ (electrons/pixel/s) and $N_R$ (electrons rms/pixel)
depend on the camera type. Assuming emission from TMRM molecules is the only source of light and there is no background fluorescence, the total noise intensity is given by:

$$N_t = \sqrt{N_p^2 + N_R^2 + N_D^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

Taking into account the camera quantum efficiency, dark current, read noise and the photon shot noise, and the binning mode, the practical signal to noise ratio is given by:

$$SNR_{\text{practical}} = \frac{f_0 M \tau \epsilon Q_e}{\sqrt{f_0 M \tau \epsilon Q_e + N_R^2 + D M \tau \epsilon}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

Here M is the number of binned pixels. As a result of binning, adjacent pixels are combined together and their charges are read simultaneously. This improves the SNR and also allows for faster pixel read outs.

**What is the error in ΔΨ caused by noise of the fluorescence measurement?**

Assuming a linear relationship between the emitted fluorescence intensity and the TMRM concentration, which holds at low concentrations of TMRM, the mitochondrial membrane potential can be found from:

$$\Delta \Psi = -59 \log \frac{f_0}{f_{\text{out}}} \ mV$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

Here $f_0$ and $f_{\text{out}}$ are the measured fluorescence intensity from inside and outside the mitochondrion, respectively. Using analysis similar to the one used to find $f_0$, $f_{\text{out}}$ can be calculated. Although some labs perform the extensive calibrations required for an absolute measurement of the mitochondrial membrane potential from fluorescence$^{43}$ (supplemental Information), in a more typical situation one is only interested in *changes* in the membrane potential in response to an altered chemical or metabolic condition, or even (more simply)
whether the membrane potential has collapsed. Therefore, in most situations it is acceptable to only measure the changes in the intensity of the fluorescence of the organelle as an indicator of the changes in the membrane potential, and ignore the intensity of the fluorescence of the region outside the organelle. Quantitatively, this can be stated as follows:

\[ \Delta \psi_2 - \Delta \psi_1 = -59 \left( \log \frac{f_{02}}{f_{out2}} - \log \frac{f_{01}}{f_{out1}} \right) \approx -59 \left( \log \frac{f_{02}}{f_{01}} \right) \] (8)

For a well-designed experiment, the changes in the TMRM concentration in the buffer are small. The error that is caused by ignoring the \( \log \frac{f_{02}}{f_{out2}} \) term, will be limited to a few millivolts of membrane potential.

In this case the error in measurement of changes in membrane potential is given by:

\[ \partial(\Delta \psi_2 - \Delta \psi_1) = 59 \frac{1}{\ln 10} \left( \left| \frac{\partial f_{02}}{\partial f_{02}} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial f_{01}}{\partial f_{01}} \right| \right) = 59 \frac{1}{2.3} \left( \frac{1}{SNR_2} + \frac{1}{SNR_1} \right) = 59 \frac{1}{2.3} \left( \frac{2}{SNR_{in}} \right) \text{mV} \] (9)

Finally, combining these, we find, for the ideal photo-detector:

\[ \partial(\Delta \psi_2 - \Delta \psi_1) = \frac{59}{2.3} \left( \frac{2}{45 \sqrt{\ell_0}} \right) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell_0}} \text{mV} \] (10)

This gives the fundamental limits of the accuracy of fluorescence measurement of membrane potential changes (Figure 5b). In this case, the measurement error is caused only by the inherent shot noise of the arriving photons, and therefore cannot be improved.

In a practical fluorescence microscopy set-up, the accuracy of the measurement can be found from:

\[ \partial(\Delta \psi_2 - \Delta \psi_1) = \frac{59}{2.3} \left( \frac{2 \tilde{f}_0 M_{t_0} Q_e + N^2_e + D M_{t_0}}{\tilde{f}_0 M_{t_0} Q_e} \right) \] (11)
This accuracy depends on the characteristics of the detection system. Using a high binning mode, the effect of read noise can be suppressed considerably at the price of loss of spatial resolution of mitochondria. Another factor limiting the temporal resolution of the camera system is the frame rate, which also improves using a higher binning mode. The measurement accuracies for several different scientific grade low light camera systems are plotted in Figure 5b. The parameters for these camera systems are summarized in Table S1. In this analysis we have ignored the time it takes for TMRM molecules to diffuse across the mitochondrial membrane in response to changes in the membrane potential. This rate can also limit the temporal resolution of membrane potential measurement with fluorescence microscopy.

Fluorescence measurement we performed on individual mitochondria (Figure 5d) using the set up described in the methods section shows an SNR of approximately 8.2, resulting in an error of 6.6 mV, which is in good agreement with the predictions of the derived formula adjusted for our set up. (4 mV).
How many photons does a TMRM labeled mitochondrion emit per second?

The number of photons emitted by a single fluorophore per second can be calculated from the following equations described in:  

\[ f_{\text{em}} = f_{\text{ex}} \cdot B_s \]  

(1)

Where \( f_{\text{em}} \) is the photon emission rate in photons per molecule per second, \( f_{\text{ex}} \) is the incident light intensity in photons per second per square centimeter and \( B_s \) is the brightness which, at the single molecule level is calculated from:  

\[ B_s = \phi_{\lambda_{\text{ex}}/\lambda_{\text{em}}} \cdot \sigma_{\lambda_{\text{ex}}} \]  

(2)

\( \phi_{\lambda_{\text{ex}}/\lambda_{\text{em}}} \) is the fluorescence quantum yield of TMRM molecules, and \( \sigma_{\lambda_{\text{ex}}} \) is the absorption cross section of a single fluorophore molecule in square centimeter, Using the values given in Table 1, the brightness of a TMRM molecule is calculated to be \( 0.13 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2 \). Therefore for each molecule of TMRM under the above discussed excitation condition the photon emission rate is 22 photons/s/molecule.

The concentration of TMRM in an energized mitochondrion can be calculated from Nernst equation:

\[ \Delta \Psi = 2.303 \frac{RT}{zF} \log \left( \frac{C_{\text{out}}}{C_{\text{in}}} \right) \]  

(3)

Where \( C_{\text{in}} \) and \( C_{\text{out}} \) are ion concentrations inside and outside the mitochondria, respectively, \( T \) the temperature, \( z \) the charge of the ion, \( F \) Faraday’s constant, and \( R \) the ideal gas constant. The effect of non-Nernstian binding of TMRM has been ignored since it has been shown that it is
relatively low. Taking the higher end of 30 nM TMRM concentration in the respiration buffer, for a mitochondrion with a membrane potential of -150 mV, the concentration of dye inside the mitochondria is 10 µM. Therefore there are approximately 370 TMRM molecules inside the mitochondria, and the total number of photons emitted from each mitochondrion is 8200 photon/s. The objective assumed in Table 1 has a 1.4 NA and therefore captures about 30% of the photons. Part of the light is also lost while being transmitted through the objective and dichoric mirror. The flux of photons emitted by a mitochondrion arriving at the camera detector is estimated as 2000 photons/s. The average number of photons arriving at each camera pixel every second can be calculated by dividing this photon flux by the total area of the mitochondrion on the image in pixels.

**Measurement Accuracy of Absolute Membrane Potential Changes**

The sensitivity of the membrane potential to changes in the fluorescence intensity can be calculated from:

\[
\varDelta (\Delta \Psi) = 59 \frac{1}{\ln 10} \left( \left| \frac{\varDelta f_0}{f_0} \right| + \left| \frac{\varDelta f_{\text{out}}}{f_{\text{out}}} \right| \right) = -59 \frac{1}{2.3} \left( \frac{1}{SNR_{in}} + \frac{1}{SNR_{out}} \right) \ mV
\]

\( f_{\text{out}} \), The total photon flux that reaches the camera pixels from TMRM molecules in solution outside of mitochondria, is approximately 1 photon/s/pixel for typical camera pixel sizes. Since \( f_{\text{out}} \) is very weak, this error can get very large. For a high accuracy measurement of absolute membrane potential a long exposure time is required. This considerably limits the time resolution of absolute membrane potential measurements using fluorescence microscopy.

**Table S2. Specifications of different low light camera systems**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Camera</th>
<th>QE (e/p/s)</th>
<th>D (e/p)</th>
<th>N_R (e/p)</th>
<th>Pixel Size (µm)</th>
<th>Fast Frame rate at 512x512 pixel</th>
<th>Largest Binning</th>
<th>Spatial Resolution of mitochondria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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